IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

MONDAY, THE 24TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 2ND ASWINA 1929

S.T.Rev.No.528 of 2004

A.I.T.A.NO.37/1990 OF THE KERALA AGRICULTURAL INCOME TAX AND SALES TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, ADDITIONAL BENCH-II, PALAKKAD (ORDER DATED 5TH OCTOBER, 2000)

.....

REVISION PETITIONER/RESPONDENT/REVENUE:-

STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (LAW),
COMMERCIAL TAXES,
ERNAKULAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.B.PRADEEP.

RESPONDENT/APPELLANT/ASSESSEE:-

M/S.TRAVANCORE TEA ESTATE CO LTD.,
C/O.M/S.RAM BEHADUR THAKKUR (PVT.) LTD.,
WELLINGTON ISLAND, COCHIN.

THIS SALES TAX REVISION HAVING COME UP FOR ORDERS ON 24/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:-

H.L.Dattu, C.J. & K.T.Sankaran, J.

C.M.Appln.No.1301 of 2004 &
S.T.Rev.No.528 of 2004

Dated, this the 24th day of September, 2007

ORDER

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

There is a delay of 1097 days in filing the revision petition against the order passed by the Kerala Agricultural Income Tax and Sales Tax Appellate Tribunal, Additional Bench-II, Palakkad in A.I.T.A.No.37 of 1990 dated 5th October, 2000.

(2) To condone the delay in filing the revision petition, the revision petitioner has filed an application along with an affidavit. The affidavit so filed is nothing but a filling up of the blanks of an affidavit prepared earlier. Even in that, they only shift the blame from one officer to the other officer for causing the delay in filing the revision petition.

(3) Heard Sri.K.B. Pradeep, learned Government Pleader appearing for the revision petitioner.

(4) Having gone through the mechanical type of the affidavit so filed, we are of the opinion that the delay in filing the revision petition is not satisfactorily explained. Therefore, the application requires to be rejected and it is rejected.

(5) Consequently, the revision petition also stands rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L.Dattu
Chief Justice

K.T.Sankaran
Judge

vku/-